

Some businesses now say that no one can smoke cigarettes in any of their offices. Some governments have banned smoking in all public places. This is a good idea but it takes away some of our freedom. Do you agree or disagree? Give reasons for your answer.

“Smoking Kills”! We have all heard this slogan or have seen it thousands of times in media, on cigarette boxes or any other place, but how much do people act based on that? In some developed countries governments have set rules recently against fume; no more smoking in public places. Even the private sector is taking action. This is to guarantee the right to health for everyone in society, but is it limiting freedom of others? The ones who ~~m~~ have chosen to kill themselves slowly by smoking?

It is widely accepted by ~~me~~ because I hate the smell but it does not change anything for someone who likes it. Some people say it depends on the body; the lack of Nicotine can make some anxious and neurotic. However, there is increasing scientific evidence that the impacts will not only affect smokers; all who ~~m~~ breathe in the fume ~~is-are~~ at risk ~~for-of~~ different kinds of diseases, whether they do smoke themselves or not. It means that it is not something that the person suffers from only by one’s self.

This argument has been put forward by many experts, most notably by human rights activists. The ones who are the fans of the first generation are mostly for the freedom of every individual. They say every person has the right to choose even for their own death. They stand for the maximum of freedoms in any criteria, but there are two other generations in human rights also. The activists of the second generation, ~~they~~ do believe more in social rights, in which there is a right to health. Based on this right, they argue if they do not smoke, they should also feel ~~secure-safe~~ about not getting affected by the smoke produced by others. The third generation fans also are protesting ~~about~~ the impacts of smoking on the environment and they do consider even the animals and also the planet earth. There are always collisions between the rights and in such cases there is always the same answer: freedoms must be in their maximum state unless they limit the freedom of others!

On the whole, I agree with banning the smokers ~~from-to~~ lighting their cigarettes anywhere they like but it does not change anything about the dirty policy of producing such products, advertising them ~~in-on~~ an extensive scale and ~~to-sell~~ selling them to developing countries only because of the amount of money they bring back! If they are harmful for the people of a country, they are also damaging for others.